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Standard Assumptions in Finance

**Black-Scholes** theory

- Price given by a **single** number
- **infinite** liquidity
  - one can buy or sell **any** quantity **at** this price
  - with **NO IMPACT** on the asset price
- Fixes to account for liquidity frictions
  - Transaction Costs (Constantinides, Davis, Paras, Zariphopoulou, Shreve, Soner, .......)
  - liquidity \(\sim\) transaction cost (Cetin-Jarrow-Protter)

Not satisfactory for

- Large trades (over short periods)
- High Frequency Trading

Need **Market Microstructure**

- e.g. understand how are buy and sell orders executed?
New Markets

▶ Quote Driven Markets
   ▶ Market Maker or Dealer centralizes buy and sell orders and provides liquidity by setting bid and ask quotes. Ex: NYSE specialist system

▶ Order Driven Markets
   ▶ electronic platforms aggregate all available orders in a Limit Order Book (LOB)
     Ex: NYSE, NASDAQ, LSE
   ▶ Same stock traded on several venues
   ▶ Price discovery made difficult as most instruments can be traded off market without printing the trade to a publicly accessible data source
     ▶ Competition between markets leads to lower fees and smaller tick sizes
   ▶ Creation of Dark Pools
   ▶ Increase in updating frequency of order books
High Frequency Trading

Speculative figures – Sound plausible

- HFT accounts for 60 – 75% of all share volume.
- 10% of that is predatory \( \approx 600 \) million shares per day
- At $0.01-$0.02 per share, predatory HFT is profiting $6-$12 million a day or $1.5-$3 billion e year

Algorithmic Trading – Source of concern

- Moving computing facilities closer to trading platform (latency)
- Relying on / competing with Benchmark Tracking execution algorithms
Pros & Cons

Pros

▶ Smaller tick size;
▶ HF traders provide extra liquidity
▶ Dark pools reduce trade execution costs from price impact
▶ Markets are more efficient

Cons

▶ Expensive technological arms race
▶ Dark trading incentivizes price manipulation, fishing and predatory trading
▶ Little or no oversight possible by humans (e.g. flash crash) & increased systemic risk
▶ HF trading algorithms do not use economic fundamentals (e.g. value & profitability of a firm)
Some Highly Publicized Mishaps

Flash Crash of May 6, 2010

- Dow Jones IA plunged about 1000 points (recovered in minutes)
- Biggest one-day point decline (998.5 points)
  - At 2:32 pm a mutual fund program started to sell 75,000 E-Mini S&P 500 contracts ($4.1 billion USD) at an execution rate of 9%
  - HT trading programs were among the buyers: quickly bought and resold contracts to each other
  - hot-potato volume effect, combined sales drove "the E-mini price down 3% in just 4 minutes"

Other Notable Crashes

- Associated Press’ Twitter account hack
  - White House bombed
  - President Obama injured
  - DJIA lost 140 points and recovered in minutes
- Several mini flash crashes on NASDAQ in 2012
**Limit Order Book (LOB)**

List of all the waiting **buy** and **sell** orders

- Prices are multiple of the tick size
- For a given price, orders are arranged in a **First-In-First-Out** (FIFO) stack
- At each time $t$
  - The **bid** price $B_t$ is the price of the highest **waiting buy order**
  - The **ask** price $A_t$ is the price of the lowest **waiting sell order**
- The state of the order book is modified by **order book events**:
  - **limit orders**
  - **market orders**
  - **cancelations**
- **consolidated order book**: If the stock is traded in several venues, one aggregates over all (**visible**) trading venues.
- Here, **little or no** discussion of **pools**
The Role of a LOB

- Crucial in high frequency finance: explains transaction costs.
- **Liquidity providers** post trading intentions: Bids and Offers.
- **Liquidity takers** execute certain orders: adverse selection.

**Figure**: Snapshot of Apple order book at 8:43 (NASDAQ)
Limit Orders

A **limit order** sits in the order book until it is
- either executed against a **matching** market order
- or it is **canceled**

A **limit order**
- may be executed very quickly if it corresponds to a price near the bid and the ask
- may take a long time if
  - the market price moves away from the requested price
  - the requested price is too far from the bid/ask.
- can be canceled at any time

Typically, a **limit order** waits for a **match**
- transaction **cost** is **known**
- execution **time** is **uncertain**
Market Orders

A market order is an order to buy/sell a certain quantity of the asset at the best available price in the book.

- Agents can put a market order that, for a buy (resp. sell) order,
  - the first share(s) will be traded at the ask (resp. bid) price
  - the remaining one(s) will be traded some ticks upper (resp. lower) in order to fill the order size.
- The ask (resp. bid) price is then modified accordingly.
- When either the bid or ask queue is depleted by
  - market orders
  - cancelations

the price is updated up or down to the next level of the order book.

Typically a market order consumes the cheapest limit orders
- immediate execution (if the book is filled enough)
- price per share instead uncertain (depends upon the order size)
Cancellations

- Agents can put a cancellation of \( x \) orders in a given queue reduces the queue size by \( x \)
- When either the bid or ask queue is depleted by market orders and cancelations, the price moves up or down to the next level of the order book.
LOB Dynamics Summary

- Actual trades come in two forms
  - Agents can put a **limit order** and wait that this order matches another one
    - transaction cost is known
    - execution time is uncertain
  - Agents can put a **market order** that consumes the cheapest limit orders in the book
    - immediate execution (if the book is filled enough)
    - price per share instead depends on the order size

For a buy (resp. sell) order, the first share will be traded at the ask (resp. bid) price while the last one will be traded some ticks upper (resp. lower) in order to fill the order size. The ask (resp. bid) price is then modified accordingly.

- Agents can put a **cancellation** of $x$ orders in a given queue reduces the queue size by $x$

- When either the bid or ask queue is depleted by market orders and cancelations, the price moves up or down to the next level of the order book.
Market Impact of Large Fills

- **Current mid-price**
  \[ p_{\text{mid}} = \frac{p_{\text{Bid}} + p_{\text{Ask}}}{2} = 13.98 \]

- **Fill size** \( N = 76015 \) (e.g. buy)

- \( n_1 \) shares available at best bid \( p_1 \), \( n_2 \) shares at price \( p_2 > p_1 \), ...

- \( n_k \) shares at price \( p_k > p_{k-1} \)
  \[ N = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + \cdots + n_k \]

- **Transaction cost**
  \[ n_1 p_1 + n_2 p_2 + \cdots + n_k p_k = 1064578 \]

- **Effective price**
  \[ p_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{N} (n_1 p_1 + n_2 p_2 + \cdots + n_k p_k) \]
  \[ = 14.00484 \]

- **New mid-price** \( p_{\text{mid}} = 13.995 \)
A LOB Idiosyncrasy: Hidden Liquidity

- Some exchanges (e.g. NASDAQ & NYSE) allow *Hidden Orders*
- Made **visible** to the broader market **after being executed**
- **Controversial**
  - barrier to the implementation of a fully transparent market
  - impediment to price discovery and information dissemination

**Results of First Empirical Analyzes**

- Encourage **fishing**
- After it is **revealed** that a hidden order was executed
  - rash increase of order placement inside the bid-ask after
- HF Traders **divided** in two groups
  - Traders try to take advantage of the remaining hidden liquidity
  - Traders try to steal execution priority from the fully hidden orders
"Partially Hidden" Orders: Iceberg Orders

- **Dark liquidity** posted inside the LOB
- Two components: the *shown quantity* and the *hidden remainder*
- Order queued with the *lit* part of the LOB, only the shown quantity is visible
- When the order reaches the front of the queue, *only the display quantity is filled*
- Trade (price & quantity filled) *revealed*
- *hidden part* put at the back of the queue
- Sometimes *extra execution fee* charged by the exchange
Dark Pools / Crossing Networks

▶ Electronic engine that matches buy and sell orders without routing them to lit exchanges
▶ Raison d’être: move large amounts without impacting the price (no need for iceberg orders)
▶ Run by private brokerages
  ▶ Ex: Liquidnet, Pipeline, ITG’s Posit, Goldman’s SIGMA X.
  ▶ Participants submit (wish) lists of orders to a matching engine
  ▶ Matched orders are executed at the midpoint of the bid-ask spread.
▶ Pros: trade at mid-point can be better than on a lit market
▶ Cons: May have to wait a long time for a match to occur
▶ Regulated by SEC (in the US) as Alternative Trading Systems
  ▶ Little or no public disclosure
  ▶ Not much has been done to increase transparency
▶ Trading on dark pools ≈ 32% of trades in 2012 (!)
Order Book Modeling Objectives

Offer a **framework** to investigate order impact on execution prices

- Optimal multi-period liquidation strategies against a limit order book
- Detailed but tractable stochastic model of spread and transaction costs
- Benchmark tracking slippage
- Opportunity costs of delayed trading

Existing **Literature** (very partial list, only relevant to these lectures)

- **Equilibrium models**: Parlour (1998), Foucault et al. (2005), Rosu (2009)
- **Empirical studies**: Bouchaud et al. (2002), Farmer et al. (2004), Hollifield et al. (2004)
- **Reduced form models**
  - Stochastic dynamic models: Bouchaud et al. (2008), Smith et al. (2003), Bovier et al. (2006), Luckock (2003), Maslov and Mills (2001)
  - Queuing theory based models: Cont et al. (2010)
Order Book Models

Roughly speaking, LOB is a set of two histograms (Bids and Asks)
Reduced form model: Markov process $\left( O_t \right)_t$ on a large state space of order books $\mathcal{O}$.

- **Smith-Farmer-Guillemot-Krishnamurthy (SFGK) Model**
- **Market orders** (buys and sells) arrive according to a Poisson process with rate $\mu/2$
- **Cancellation** of existing limit orders: outstanding limit orders "die" at a rate $\nu$
Another Model Capturing Stylized Facts

Cont-Stoikov-Talreja

- \( \mathcal{P} = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} \) price grid in multiples of price tick
- LOB at time \( t \) \( \mathcal{O}(t) = (O_1(t), O_2(t), \cdots, O_n(t)) \)
  - \( |O_p(t)| \) is the number of outstanding limit orders at price \( p \)
  - There are \(-O_p(t)\) bid orders at price \( p \) if \( O_p(t) < 0 \)
  - There are \( O_p(t) \) ask orders at price \( p \) if \( O_p(t) > 0 \)
- Admissible state space
  \[ \mathcal{O} = \left\{ O \in \mathbb{Z}^n; \exists 1 \leq k \leq \ell \leq n, \ O_p < 0 \text{ for } p \leq k, \right. \]
  \[ \left. O_p = 0 \text{ for } k < p < \ell, \ O_p > 0 \text{ for } \ell \leq p \right\} \]
- Ask price at time \( t \):
  \[ P_A(t) := (n + 1) \land \inf\{p; \ 1 \leq p \leq n, \ O_p(t) > 0\} \]
- Bid price at time \( t \):
  \[ P_B(t) := 0 \lor \sup\{p; \ 1 \leq p \leq n, \ O_p(t) < 0\} \]
- Mid-price \( \tilde{P}(t) = \frac{1}{2}[P_A(t) + P_B(t)] \)
- Bid-Ask spread \( \tilde{S}(t) = P_A(t) - P_B(t) \)
A Typical State of the LOB

Hypothetical LOB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nb of Shares</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For the sake of **simplicity**, we assume that the changes to the LOB happen

one share at a time!

- We review the **events** causing the LOB state **transitions**
- Convenient Notation $O^p_{\pm 1}$ as a transition from $O$

$$O^p_{i \pm 1} = \begin{cases} 
O_i & \text{if } i \neq p \\
O_i \pm 1 & \text{if } i = p
\end{cases}$$
Limit buy order at price level $p < P_A(t)$

Increases the quantity at level $p$: $O(t) \leftrightarrow O(t)^{p-1}$
Limit buy order at price level $p < P_A(t)$

Increases the quantity at level $p$: $O(t) \leftrightarrow O(t)^{p-1}$
Limit sell order at price level $p > P_B(t)$

Increases the quantity at level $p$: $O(t) \leftarrow O(t)^{p+1}$
Limit sell order at price level \( p > P_B(t) \)

Increases the quantity at level \( p \): \( O(t) \leftrightarrow O(t)^{p+1} \)
Market buy order

Decreases the quantity at the ask price: $O(t) \rightarrow O(t)^{PA(t)-1}$
Followed by another Market buy order

Decreases the quantity at the ask price: \( O(t) \leftarrow O(t)^{P_{A(t)}-1} \)

Now the Ask price \( P_{A}(O) \) changes
**Market sell order**

Decreases the quantity at the bid price: $O(t) \leftrightarrow O(t)^{P_B(t)+1}$
Followed by Another Market sell order

Decreases the quantity at the bid price: $O(t) \leftrightarrow O(t)^{P_B(t)+1}$
Followed by Still Another Market sell order

Decreases the quantity at the bid price: $O(t) \leftrightarrow O(t)^{P_B(t)+1}$

Now the Bid price $P_B(O)$ changes
Cancellation of an outstanding limit buy order at price level $p < P_B(t)$

Decreases the quantity at level $p$: $O(t) \leftrightarrow O(t)^{p+1}$
Cancellation of an outstanding limit sell order at price level $p > P_A(t)$

Decreases the quantity at level $p$: $O(t) \rightarrow O(t)^{p-1}$
Practical Assumptions

- Limit buy (respectively sell) orders arrive at a distance of $i$ ticks from the opposite best quote at independent, exponential times with rate $\lambda(i) = Ki^{-\beta}$ for some $K > 0$ and $\beta > 0$.

- Market buy (respectively sell) orders arrive at independent, exponential times with constant rate $\mu$.

- Cancellations of limit orders at a distance of $i$ ticks from the opposite best quote occur at a rate proportional to the number of outstanding orders: If the number of outstanding orders at that level is $x$, then the cancellation rate is $\theta(i)x$.

- The above events are mutually independent.
Summary

Under these assumptions, \( O = [O(t)]_{t \geq 0} \) is a continuous-time Markov chain with state space \( O \) and transition rates:

- \( O \leftrightarrow O^{p-1} \) with rate \( \lambda(P_A(t) - p) \) for \( p < P_A(t) \)
- \( O \leftrightarrow O^{p-1} \) with rate \( \theta(p - P_B(t))|O_p| \) for \( p > P_B(t) \)
- \( O \leftrightarrow O^{p+1} \) with rate \( \lambda(p - P_B(t)) \) for \( p > P_B(t) \)
- \( O \leftrightarrow O^{p+1} \) with rate \( \theta(P_A(t) - p)|O_p| \) for \( p < P_A(t) \)
- \( O \leftrightarrow O^{P_B(t)+1} \) with rate \( \mu \)
- \( O \leftrightarrow O^{P_A(t)-1} \) with rate \( \mu \)

This chain remains in \( O \) if it starts from there, i.e.

\[
P_B(t) \leq P_A(t), \quad \text{far all } t > 0
\]

if it is true at time \( t = 0 \).
Cont-Stoikov-Talreja Model

- Descriptive Analysis
- Use ideas from *queuing theory*
  - first passage times of Birth-and-Death processes
  - Laplace transform techniques
- Compute / Estimate Probabilities of Conditional Events
- Not sufficient for optimal execution strategies
Extensions

Incorporate the most important stylised facts of order flow.

▶ Limit order arrival rates conditional on distance from e.g. best price on same side [MF05]
▶ Existing limit orders cancelled and immediately resubmitted [Tse06]
▶ Aggressiveness of orders depends on depth [LS05]
▶ Fewer market orders when the spread is large [BJP03]
▶ More limit orders inside spread when depth at best is large [EHJJ03]
▶ (Long-range) autocorrelation of signs of consecutive market orders [BGPW03] Patrick Hewlett
Optimization Problems

**Goal of a LOB model** is to

- Understand the costs of transactions
- Develop efficient (if not optimal) trading procedures

**Typical challenge**

- **Sell** $x_0$ units of an asset and maximize the sales revenues, using a limited number of **market orders only**

\[
\sup_{\tau_1 < \cdots < \tau_n < T} \mathbb{E}(U(\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_B(\tau_i)))
\]

where $U$ is a utility function and $\mathbb{E}$ is the expectation over a model for the dynamics of the LOB $O_t$

Searching for optimal **strategies / market timing rules** is a **stochastic control problem** in prohibitively high dimension