Energy Markets III: Weather Derivates

René Carmona

Bendheim Center for Finance Department of Operations Research & Financial Engineering Princeton University

Banff, May 2007

Weather and Commodity

Stand-alone

- temperature
- precipitation
- wind
- In-Combination
 - natural gas
 - opwer
 - heating oil
 - propane
- Agricultural risk (yield, revenue, input hedges and trading)
- Power outage contingent power price options

For many contracts, delivery needs to match demand

- Demand for energy highly correlated with temperature
 - Heating Season (winter) HDD
 - Cooling Season (summer) CDD

Stylized Facts and First (naive) Models

- Electricity demand = β * weather + α
 - Not true all the time
 - Time dependent β by filtering !
- From the stack: Correlation (Gas,Power) = f(weather)
 - No significance, too unstable
 - Could it be because of heavy tails?
- Weather dynamics need to be included
 - Another Source of Incompleteness

- Protection against the Weather Exposure
- Temperature Options on CDDs (Extreme Load)

Hedging Basis Risk

- Protection against Gas & Electricity Price Spikes
- Gas purchase with Swing Options

- Protection against the Weather Exposure
- Temperature Options on CDDs (Extreme Load)

Hedging Basis Risk

- Protection against Gas & Electricity Price Spikes
- Gas purchase with Swing Options

- Protection against the Weather Exposure
- Temperature Options on CDDs (Extreme Load)

Hedging Basis Risk

- Protection against Gas & Electricity Price Spikes
- Gas purchase with Swing Options

- Protection against the Weather Exposure
- Temperature Options on CDDs (Extreme Load)

Hedging Basis Risk

- Protection against Gas & Electricity Price Spikes
- Gas purchase with Swing Options

→ < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Hedging Basis Risk

Use Swing Options

- Multiple Rights to deviate (within bounds) from base load contract level
- Pricing & Hedging quite involved!
 - Tree/Forest Based Methods
 - Direct Backward Dynamic Programing Induction (à la Detemple-Jaillet-Ronn-Tompaidis)
 - New Monte Carlo Methods
 - Nonparametric Regression (à la Longstaff-Schwarz) Backward Dynamic Programing Induction

Hedging Basis Risk

Use Swing Options

 Multiple Rights to deviate (within bounds) from base load contract level

• Pricing & Hedging quite involved!

- Tree/Forest Based Methods
 - Direct Backward Dynamic Programing Induction (à la Detemple-Jaillet-Ronn-Tompaidis)

New Monte Carlo Methods

 Nonparametric Regression (à la Longstaff-Schwarz) Backward Dynamic Programing Induction

Mathematics of Swing Contracts: a Crash Course

Review: Classical Optimal Stopping Problem: American Option

- $X_0, X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n, \cdots$ rewards
- Right to ONE Exercise
- Mathematical Problem

$$\sup_{0 \le \tau \le T} \mathbb{E}\{X_{\tau}\}$$

Mathematical Solution

- Snell's Envelop
- Backward Dynamic Programming Induction in Markovian Case

Standard, Well Understood

Mathematics of Swing Contracts: a Crash Course

Review: Classical Optimal Stopping Problem: American Option

- $X_0, X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n, \cdots$ rewards
- Right to ONE Exercise
- Mathematical Problem

$$\sup_{D\leq\tau\leq T}\mathbb{E}\{X_{\tau}\}$$

Mathematical Solution

- Snell's Envelop
- Backward Dynamic Programming Induction in Markovian Case

Standard, Well Understood

In its simplest form the problem of Swing/Recall option pricing is an

Optimal Multiple Stopping Problem

- $X_0, X_1, X_2, \cdots, X_n, \cdots$ rewards
- Right to N Exercises
- Mathematical Problem

$$\sup_{0\leq \tau_1<\tau_2<\cdots<\tau_N\leq T}\mathbb{E}\{X_{\tau_1}+X_{\tau_2}+\cdots+X_{\tau_N}\}$$

• **Refraction** period θ

$$\tau_1 + \theta < \tau_2 < \tau_2 + \theta < \tau_3 < \dots < \tau_{N-1} + \theta < \tau_N$$

Part of recall contracts & crucial for continuous time models

Instruments with Multiple American Exercises

Ubiquitous in Energy Sector

- Swing / Recall contracts
- End user contracts (EDF)

Present in other contexts

- Fixed income markets (e.g. chooser swaps)
- Executive option programs
 - Reload \rightarrow Multiple exercise, Vesting \rightarrow Refraction, \cdots
- Fleet Purchase (airplanes, cars, ···)

Challenges

- Valuation
- Optimal exercise policies
- Hedging

Instruments with Multiple American Exercises

Ubiquitous in Energy Sector

- Swing / Recall contracts
- End user contracts (EDF)

Present in other contexts

- Fixed income markets (e.g. chooser swaps)
- Executive option programs
 - $\text{Reload} \rightarrow \text{Multiple exercise, Vesting} \rightarrow \text{Refraction}, \cdots$
- Fleet Purchase (airplanes, cars, · · ·)

Challenges

- Valuation
- Optimal exercise policies
- Hedging

Instruments with Multiple American Exercises

Ubiquitous in Energy Sector

- Swing / Recall contracts
- End user contracts (EDF)

Present in other contexts

- Fixed income markets (e.g. chooser swaps)
- Executive option programs
 Reload → Multiple exercise, Vesting → Refraction, · · ·
- Fleet Purchase (airplanes, cars, ···)

Challenges

- Valuation
- Optimal exercise policies
- Hedging



Multiple Exercise Regions

Exercise regions for N = 5 rights and finite maturity computed by Malliavin-Monte-Carlo.

2

・ロン ・聞と ・ ヨン ・ ヨン …

First Faculty Meeting of New PU President

Princeton University Electricity Budget

2.8 M \$ over (PU is small)

- The University has its own Power Plant
- Gas Turbine for Electricity & Steam
- Major Exposures
 - Hot Summer (air conditioning) Spikes in Demand, Gas & Electricity Prices
 - Cold Winter (heating) Spikes in Gas Prices

Risk Management Solution

- Never Again such a Short Fall !!!
- Student (Greg Larkin) Thesis
- Hedging Volume Risk
 - Protection against the Weather Exposure
 - Temperature Options on CDDs (Extreme Load)

Hedging Basis Risk

- Protection against Gas & Electricity Price Spikes
- Gas purchase with Swing Options

→ < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >



Average Daily Load against Average Daily Temperature (PJM data).

The Need for Temperature Options

- Rigorous Analysis of the Dependence between the Shortfall and the Temperature in Princeton
- Use of Historical Data (sparse) & Definition of a *Temperature* Protection
 - Period of the Coverage
 - Form of the Coverage
- Search for the Nearest Stations with HDD/CDD Trades
 - La Guardia Airport (LGA)
 - Philadelphia (PHL)
- Define a Portfolio of LGA & PHL forward / option Contracts
- Construct a LGA / PHL basket

→ < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Pricing: How Much is it Worth to PU?

Actuarial / Historical Approach

- Burn Analysis
- Temperature Modeling & Monte Carlo VaR Computations
- Not Enough Reliable Load Data

• Expected (Exponential) Utility Maximization (A. Danilova)

- Use Gas & Power Contracts
- Hedging in Incomplete Models
- Indifference Pricing
- Very Difficult Numerics (whether PDE's or Monte Carlo)



,'000110000,'



FIRST CLASS MAIL

AH. Rene Carmona Princeton University DRFÉ Dept Princeton NJ 08544

OTC & Exchange traded (29 cities on CME)

- Still Extremely Illiquid Markets (except for front month)
- Misconception: Weather Derivative = Insurance Contract
 - No secondary market
 - Mark-to-Market (or Model) does not change
- Not Until Meteorology kicks in (10-15 days before maturity)
 - Mark-to-Market (or Model) changes every day
 - Contracts change hands
 - That's when major losses occur and money is made
- This hot period is not considered in academic studies
 - Need for updates: new information coming in (temperatures, forecasts,)
 - Filtering is (again) the solution

OTC & Exchange traded (29 cities on CME)

Still Extremely Illiquid Markets (except for front month)

- Misconception: Weather Derivative = Insurance Contract
 - No secondary market
 - Mark-to-Market (or Model) does not change
- Not Until Meteorology kicks in (10-15 days before maturity)
 - Mark-to-Market (or Model) changes every day
 - Contracts change hands
 - That's when major losses occur and money is made
- This hot period is not considered in academic studies
 - Need for updates: new information coming in (temperatures, forecasts,)
 - Filtering is (again) the solution

OTC & Exchange traded (29 cities on CME)

- Still Extremely Illiquid Markets (except for front month)
- Misconception: Weather Derivative = Insurance Contract
 - No secondary market
 - Mark-to-Market (or Model) does not change
- Not Until Meteorology kicks in (10-15 days before maturity)
 - Mark-to-Market (or Model) changes every day
 - Contracts change hands
 - That's when major losses occur and money is made

This hot period is not considered in academic studies

- Need for updates: new information coming in (temperatures, forecasts,)
- Filtering is (again) the solution

OTC & Exchange traded (29 cities on CME)

- Still Extremely Illiquid Markets (except for front month)
- Misconception: Weather Derivative = Insurance Contract
 - No secondary market
 - Mark-to-Market (or Model) does not change
- Not Until Meteorology kicks in (10-15 days before maturity)
 - Mark-to-Market (or Model) changes every day
 - Contracts change hands
 - That's when major losses occur and money is made
- This hot period is not considered in academic studies
 - Need for updates: new information coming in (temperatures, forecasts,)
 - Filtering is (again) the solution

OTC & Exchange traded (29 cities on CME)

- Still Extremely Illiquid Markets (except for front month)
- Misconception: Weather Derivative = Insurance Contract
 - No secondary market
 - Mark-to-Market (or Model) does not change
- Not Until Meteorology kicks in (10-15 days before maturity)
 - Mark-to-Market (or Model) changes every day
 - Contracts change hands
 - That's when major losses occur and money is made
- This hot period is not considered in academic studies
 - Need for updates: new information coming in (temperatures, forecasts,)
 - Filtering is (again) the solution



La Guardia Daily Average Temperature

Daily Average Temperature at La Guardia.



Prediction on 6/1/2001 of Summer La Guardia Average Temperature

Prediction on 6/1/2001 of daily temperature over the next four months.

・ロン ・聞と ・ ヨン ・ ヨン …

2

Social function of the weather market

• Existence of a Market of Professionals (for weather risk transfer)

Under attack from

- (Re-)Insurance industry
- Utilities (trying to pass weather risk to end-customer)
 - EDF program in France
 - Weather Normalization Agreements in US

Cross Commodity Products

- Gas & Power contracts with weather triggers/contingencies
- New (major) players: Hedge Funds provide liquidity

World Bank

Use weather derivatives instead of insurance contracts

Social function of the weather market

• Existence of a Market of Professionals (for weather risk transfer)

Under attack from

- (Re-)Insurance industry
- Utilities (trying to pass weather risk to end-customer)
 - EDF program in France
 - Weather Normalization Agreements in US

Cross Commodity Products

- Gas & Power contracts with weather triggers/contingencies
- New (major) players: Hedge Funds provide liquidity

World Bank

Use weather derivatives instead of insurance contracts

Social function of the weather market

• Existence of a Market of Professionals (for weather risk transfer)

Under attack from

- (Re-)Insurance industry
- Utilities (trying to pass weather risk to end-customer)
 - EDF program in France
 - Weather Normalization Agreements in US

Cross Commodity Products

- Gas & Power contracts with weather triggers/contingencies
- New (major) players: Hedge Funds provide liquidity

World Bank

• Use weather derivatives instead of insurance contracts

Social function of the weather market

• Existence of a Market of Professionals (for weather risk transfer)

Under attack from

- (Re-)Insurance industry
- Utilities (trying to pass weather risk to end-customer)
 - EDF program in France
 - Weather Normalization Agreements in US

Cross Commodity Products

- Gas & Power contracts with weather triggers/contingencies
- New (major) players: Hedge Funds provide liquidity

World Bank

Use weather derivatives instead of insurance contracts

Social function of the weather market

• Existence of a Market of Professionals (for weather risk transfer)

Under attack from

- (Re-)Insurance industry
- Utilities (trying to pass weather risk to end-customer)
 - EDF program in France
 - Weather Normalization Agreements in US

Cross Commodity Products

- Gas & Power contracts with weather triggers/contingencies
- New (major) players: Hedge Funds provide liquidity

World Bank

Use weather derivatives instead of insurance contracts

Incomplete Market Model & Indifference Pricing

- Temperature Options: Actuarial/Statistical Approach
- Temperature Options: Diffusion Models (Danilova)
- Precipitation Options: Markov Models (Diko)
 - Problem: Pricing in an Incomplete Market
 - Solution: Indifference Pricing à la Davis

$$d\theta_t = p(t,\theta)dt + q(t,\theta)dW_t^{(\theta)} + r(t,\theta)dQ_t^{(\theta)}$$

$$dS_t = S_t[\mu(t,\theta)dt + \sigma(t,\theta)dW_t^{(S)}]$$

- θ_t non-tradable
- S_t tradable

- < 🖓 > < 글 > < 글 >

Mathematical Models for Temperature Options

Example: Exponential Utility Function

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_t = \frac{\mathbb{E}\{\tilde{\phi}(\boldsymbol{Y}_T)\boldsymbol{e}^{-\int_t^T V(s,Y_s)ds}\}}{\mathbb{E}\{\boldsymbol{e}^{-\int_t^T V(s,Y_s)ds}\}}$$

where

•
$$\tilde{\phi} = e^{-\gamma(1-\rho^2)f}$$

where $f(\theta_T)$ is the pay-off function of the European call on the temperature

•
$$\tilde{p}_t = e^{-\gamma(1-\rho^2)p_t}$$

where p_t is price of the option at time t

• Y_t is the diffusion:

$$dY_t = [g(t, Y_t) - \frac{\mu(t, Y_t) - r}{\sigma(t, Y_t)}h(t, Y_t)]dt + h(t, Y_t)d\tilde{W}_t$$

starting from $Y_0 = y$

• *V* is the time dependent potential function:

$$V(t,y) = -\frac{1-\rho^2}{2} \frac{(\mu(t,y)-r)^2}{\sigma(t,y)^2}$$

The Weather Market Today

- Insurance companies: Swiss Re, XL, Munich Re, Ren Re
- Financial Houses: Goldman Sachs, Deutsche Bank, Merrill Lynch, ABN AMRO
- Hedge funds: D. E. Shaw, Tudor, Susquehanna, Centaurus, Wolverine

Trading

- OTC
- Exchange: CME (Chicago Mercantile Exchange) 29 cites globally traded, monthly / seasonal contracts
- Strong end-user demand within the energy sector Northeast and Midwest LDCs most prevalent in US

- Only a subset of locations are traded on a daily basis
- Exchange settlement prices depart from OTC market prices (viewed by traders)
- Denoting by μ the mean of the swaps delivering in a given season, by Σ their covariance matrix:

$$\inf_{\mu,\,\Gamma\mu=\pi}(\mu-\mu_{sim}^{t}\Sigma^{-1}(\mu-\mu_{sim}))$$

where Γ defines the set of observable trades and π is the vector of market prices.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト